



2  
3 **MINUTES OF THE CENTRAL WASATCH COMMISSION (“CWC”) SHORT-TERM**  
4 **PROJECTS COMMITTEE MEETING HELD MONDAY APRIL 15, 2024, AT 10:00 A.M.**  
5 **THE MEETING WAS CONDUCTED BOTH IN-PERSON AND VIRTUALLY VIA**  
6 **ZOOM. THE ANCHOR LOCATION WAS COTTONWOOD HEIGHTS CITY HALL, 2277**  
7 **BENGAL BOULEVARD, COTTONWOOD HEIGHTS, COUNCIL WORKROOM.**

8  
9 **Board Members:** Mayor Mike Weichers, Chair  
10 Mayor Monica Zoltanski  
11 Mayor Roger Bourke  
12 Annalee Munsey  
13

14 **Staff:** Lindsey Nielsen, Executive Director  
15 Sam Kilpack, Director of Operations  
16

17 **OPENING**

- 18  
19 1. **Chair Mike Weichers will Open the Public Meeting as Chair of the Short-Term Projects**  
20 **Committee of the Central Wasatch Commission.**  
21

22 Chair Mike Weichers called the Short-Term Projects Committee Meeting to order at 10:00 a.m.  
23

24 **SHORT-TERM PROJECT FINALIST PROPOSALS REVIEW**

- 25  
26 1. **The Committee will Review the Pool of Finalist Project Proposals and Make**  
27 **Recommendations for Funding.**  
28

29 Executive Director, Lindsey Nielsen, explained that the purpose of the Short-Term Projects  
30 Committee Meeting is to review the short-term project proposals. Many of the applicants are  
31 attending the meeting virtually and are available to answer Committee Member questions. The  
32 Committee will review each of the finalist project proposals, discuss the proposals, ask questions, and  
33 determine recommended funding amounts for the selected projects. This year, there is \$95,000 to  
34 allocate towards short-term project proposals. However, the requested funding amount is \$207,500.  
35

36 Chair Weichers thanked CWC Staff for their efforts and welcomed everyone to Cottonwood Heights.  
37 He expressed appreciation for the Short-Term Projects Grant Program and explained that it assists  
38 with worthwhile local projects. Ms. Nielsen thanked Cottonwood Heights for hosting the meeting.  
39

40 Ms. Nielsen reported that there are 14 finalist project proposals to review. She informed those present  
41 that the U.S. Forest Service was unable to attend the meeting, but the Forest Service has reviewed all  
42 of the finalists and does not have an issue with any of the proposals. Mayor Monica Zoltanski asked

1 about the \$95,000 of short-term project funding. Ms. Nielsen clarified that it is a line item in the  
2 CWC budget. The source for that funding is largely the State appropriation. Mayor Zoltanski wanted  
3 to know what the typical budget is for short-term projects. Ms. Nielsen explained that it has been less  
4 in previous years. For the first year of the grant cycle, there was \$80,000, but the Short-Term Projects  
5 Committee made a request to the CWC Board that some reserve funding also be used.

6  
7 The first project proposal was from the Cottonwood Canyons Foundation for the Cottonwood  
8 Canyons Watershed Education Program. Joanna Wheelton, the Executive Director of the  
9 Cottonwood Canyons Foundation, is attending the meeting virtually and can answer Committee  
10 Member questions. The amount requested is \$20,000, which is 36% of the total project cost. Ms.  
11 Nielsen reported that the CWC has fairly consistently funded project proposals from the Cottonwood  
12 Canyons Foundation during past grant cycles. Ms. Wheelton addressed the Short-Term Projects  
13 Committee. She thanked Committee Members for their consideration of the project proposal.

14  
15 Ms. Wheelton reported that the project is focused on a new initiative, which is to build out the Junior  
16 Ranger program. There has been a rudimentary Junior Ranger program in the past, specifically at  
17 Silver Lake, but this year, there is a desire to produce booklets and have an online component.  
18 Additionally, there will be 20 backpacks for children to take out and utilize. The intention is to create  
19 a hands-on experience for children who are spending time at Silver Lake or Albion Basin.

20  
21 In previous years, the CWC funded the Junior Ranger Activity Book through Friends of Alta. Ms.  
22 Nielsen wondered whether that has been absorbed by this program from the Cottonwood Canyons  
23 Foundation. Ms. Wheelton reported that Friends of Alta gave them all of the remaining Junior Ranger  
24 books for the year. The idea was that the Cottonwood Canyons Foundation would take this project  
25 on. She pointed out that the Cottonwood Canyons Foundation has a bit of a broader reach since most  
26 people served by the organization who are under 18 are at Silver Lake. While Silver Lake sees over  
27 100,000 guests per year, there is also a significant amount of visitation at Albion Basin.

28  
29 Chair Weichers asked how the Cottonwood Canyons Foundation determines which children will be  
30 able to use the program. Ms. Wheelton clarified that backpacks will be available for free to check  
31 out. Usually, backpacks are checked out when an adult shows their driver's license. Backpacks can  
32 be picked up on a first-come first-served basis. As for the booklets, the goal is to encourage people  
33 to use the online Junior Ranger booklet so not as many physical copies need to be produced. Paper  
34 copies will still be available so all abilities are served. Mayor Roger Bourke wanted to better  
35 understand what the backpacks contained. Ms. Wheelton reported that the backpacks include three  
36 different laminated guides that deal with mammals, birds, and macroinvertebrates. There is also a  
37 macroinvertebrate key that will assist children in identifying the bugs. In addition, there will be a  
38 magnifying glass, ruler, and other tools that can be used so all of their senses are involved.

39  
40 Mayor Bourke asked what the appropriate age level is for the program. Ms. Wheelton explained that  
41 it is difficult to serve everyone, from preschoolers to teenagers. However, there is a desire to build it  
42 out so there will be components everyone can use. Everything done at Silver Lake and on the field  
43 trips is geared toward the fourth and fifth-grade level. It falls within that median area to be inclusive.  
44 Ms. Wheelton noted that there is usually a 50/50 split between minors and adults at Silver Lake. That  
45 meant in a year, likely 50,000 or so children were coming through that area. Approximately 25,000  
46 visitors come to the Visitor Center, which means approximately 12,000 children visit.

1 Ms. Wheelton reported that the Trails Online Junior Ranger program is used across the valley. That  
2 has been built out and approximately 5,000 children have made use of that program. There are 85  
3 teachers that the Cottonwood Canyons Foundation is in contact with. She explained that there is a  
4 desire to combine all of these different programs into one where the Junior Ranger booklet can be  
5 used in any green space. The hope is that there will be a broader reach by taking that approach.  
6

7 Mayor Zoltanski noted that there are 20 backpacks and 4,000 booklets. She wanted to better  
8 understand the distribution. Ms. Wheelton explained that the Visitor Center is at Silver Lake.  
9 Approximately one-quarter of visitors come to the Visitor Center. The program materials are  
10 available there on a first come first served basis. It takes people between an hour and an hour and a  
11 half to walk all the way around. Each person who checks out a backpack will have it for that amount  
12 of time. Only one backpack will be checked out per family. If the backpacks are all checked out,  
13 there are still the booklets that can be used during a walk around Silver Lake. The booklets have  
14 different sections, including geology, natural history, human use, mammals, ecology, habitat, and  
15 watershed protection. There is information about using the space in the least impactful way possible.  
16

17 Annalee Munsey asked about the structure for decision-making on the Short-Term Projects  
18 Committee. Ms. Nielsen explained that historically after each proposal is reviewed, a broad estimate  
19 for allocation is made. At the end, those numbers are added to see what the total amount is. From  
20 there, it is possible to make amendments to what was initially allocated. Chair Weichers noted that  
21 it is worth considering whether a project has been funded in the past. Ms. Nielsen clarified that the  
22 current proposal from the Cottonwood Canyons Foundation is a new one and has not been funded.  
23

24 The second project proposal was also from the Cottonwood Canyons Foundation. It is for Trail  
25 Maintenance, Native Plant Restoration, and Control of Invasive Weeds in the Tri-Canyons. The  
26 funding request is \$20,000, which is 4% of the project cost. Ms. Nielsen reported that this is a  
27 program that the CWC has funded in the past. \$10,000 was funded in 2023 and \$7,500 was funded  
28 in 2022. Ms. Wheelton explained that the Cottonwood Canyons Foundation monitors trails across  
29 the tri-canyons, which include Big Cottonwood Canyon, Little Cottonwood Canyon, and Millcreek  
30 Canyon. There are approximately 300 miles of trail in those canyons and the Cottonwood Canyons  
31 Foundation has identified trails with urgent needs this year. The proposal includes both the trail and  
32 the weed program. She pointed out that both programs are aligned with watershed protection.  
33

34 Chair Weichers wanted to know what locations have been targeted for the trail and weed work. Ms.  
35 Wheelton reported that there are 25 trails included in the proposal. Chair Weichers asked where most  
36 of the other funding for the project comes from. Ms. Wheelton explained that there is restricted  
37 funding that comes from the State of Utah through their Recreation Restoration Infrastructure Grant.  
38 Cottonwood Canyons Foundation has applied for that funding this year but has not received it yet.  
39 On the weed side of things, a grant has been submitted to the Utah Department of Agriculture, which  
40 is for the Invasive Species Management Grant. There is a little bit of funding that comes from the  
41 Forest Service and there is an Adopt-A-Trail Program that sponsors the work. Additionally, there is  
42 an American Trails Legacy Grant that will fund some of the work. That is a two-year grant for  
43 \$100,000. There is funding across some national sources and then funding from State sources as well.  
44 Salt Lake City Public Utilities Watershed Division is a sponsor of the weeds portion of the work.  
45

46 Mayor Zoltanski asked if the work was done by employees or volunteers. Ms. Wheelton reported  
47 that the program will employ 15 seasonal full-time employees for 23 to 25 weeks. It is anticipated  
48 that there will be approximately 500 volunteers in the forest this year as well. The Cottonwood

1 Canyons Foundation has a robust volunteer program and there are 32 volunteer days set for the season.  
2 Mayor Zoltanski wanted to know the date for National Trails Day. Ms. Wheelton stated that it is June  
3 1.

4  
5 Ms. Nielsen wondered whether the Short-Term Projects Committee wants to discuss initial  
6 recommendations for the first two short-term project proposals. It was determined that all of the  
7 project proposals will be discussed before the Committee recommends funding amounts.

8  
9 The third project proposal is for an Outdoor Film Festival. Ms. Nielsen explained that this was  
10 submitted by the CWC Youth Council Events and Outreach Committee. The amount requested is  
11 between \$1,500 and \$1,800 and that would fund 100% of the project costs. The proposal is to hold a  
12 one-night film festival that would be run by the CWC Youth Council. There has already been  
13 outreach conducted to determine a location for the event. She noted that the funding request will  
14 cover the costs. This is a way for the CWC Youth Council to build skills and for the CWC to interact  
15 more with the community. If the Short-Term Projects Committee recommends funding the CWC  
16 Youth Council proposal, the money would stay in the CWC budget and would essentially be absorbed.

17  
18 Chair Weichers wanted to know whether CWC Legal Counsel was contacted about the proposal. It  
19 is important to understand if there are issues with funding a grant request put forward by the CWC  
20 Youth Council. Ms. Nielsen explained that CWC Staff proposed two projects during the first year of  
21 the Short-Term Projects Grant Program, so there is precedent for this kind of decision. Mayor  
22 Zoltanski asked how the movie for the screening will be determined. Ms. Nielsen reported that the  
23 film has not been decided, but the hope is that it would be a student-made film.

24  
25 Mayor Zoltanski liked the request from the CWC Youth Council. She noted that it is a modest request  
26 and has the potential to reach others. Ms. Nielsen informed those present that some CWC Youth  
27 Council Members will attend the next CWC Board Meeting so there can be more interaction.

28  
29 The fourth project proposal is from the CWC Youth Council Outdoor Access Committee. It is an  
30 application for a Community Gear Shed. The requested amount is \$15,000, which is 100% of the  
31 project cost. Ms. Nielsen was impressed with the CWC Youth Council and their desire to make  
32 positive changes in the area. Crystal Chen from the Outdoor Access Committee is present at the  
33 Short-Term Projects Committee Meeting to answer questions. She explained that the CWC Youth  
34 Council is hoping to partner with the Gear Fund Collective, which is a mutual aid organization based  
35 in Salt Lake that essentially collects and redistributes outdoor gear and clothing free of charge to  
36 underserved communities. Right now, the Gear Fund Collective will provide gear to people in the  
37 Salt Lake Valley and will also ship gear to people nationwide. The Gear Fund Collective has been  
38 building a gear shed on the west side, which is located at the Mobile Moon Co-Op. The idea is to  
39 have backpacking and camping gear for people to check out, similar to a library system. It would be  
40 free of charge for people to use, but instead of people keeping the gear, it will be available to all.

41  
42 Ms. Nielsen pointed out that this is an opportunity for the CWC to build a new community partnership.  
43 The Mobile Moon Co-Op is a cooperative on the west side of Salt Lake City. The CWC has never  
44 partnered with them before, so this project would create a new community connection and expand  
45 outreach. She felt this is an important proposal, as it will also increase access to the outdoors.  
46 Recreation in the outdoors is increasingly becoming more exclusive because the gear is quite  
47 expensive. This kind of community gear shed would make it possible for many others to participate.

1 Mayor Zoltanski thought certain types of equipment in the shed made sense, like shovels and  
2 avalanche gear. However, she was not sure that personal use items, like water filters, would be  
3 appropriate to have in a community gear shed. She asked about recreation passes. Ms. Chen reported  
4 that the Forest Service is starting to charge recreation fees as early as May of this year for certain  
5 sites, but most of the sites will see this happen in December. The idea behind the passes is if people  
6 are visiting popular sites like Silver Lake and a Forest Service pass is needed to park there or camp  
7 there, it will be possible for them to stop at the community gear shed to pick up the gear they need  
8 and a Forest Service pass. The passes last for one year from the time they are given. It is not a one-  
9 time use pass, so someone can hang it in their vehicle and then bring it back for someone else to use.

10

11 Mayor Zoltanski wanted to know who would run the community gear shed and ensure the items were  
12 returned. Ms. Chen clarified that the Gear Fund Collective and the Mobile Moon Co-Cop will be  
13 responsible for checking the inventory, making sure it is cleaned in between uses, making sure items  
14 are returned, and facilitating conversations with people who want to request the gear. The funding  
15 request is primarily to help stock the shed as opposed to the actual management of the gear shed.

16

17 Ms. Chen reported that the community gear shed is located on the west side of the valley, which is  
18 more accessible for some of the communities targeted with this proposal. A lot of the existing gear  
19 rental operations do not serve as broad a range of communities. CWC Youth Council Members spoke  
20 to people at the Salt Lake Community College and there was interest expressed in the gear shed idea.  
21 A lot of students are unable to explore the mountains due to gear challenges. The hope is that  
22 providing the gear free of charge to people will increase accessibility to public lands.

23

24 Mayor Zoltanski asked how people will learn about the services. Ms. Chen stated that it is needs-  
25 based and will prioritize underserved communities. Currently, people can fill out a gear request form  
26 and state what group they fall under. That statement does not need to be validated. If someone wants  
27 to request gear but does not fall into an underserved community, the ask is that those people wait a  
28 certain amount of time so others have the opportunity to use the gear first.

29

30 Mayor Zoltanski asked what happens if the gear is not returned or is returned damaged. Ms. Chen  
31 explained that this is a new idea where the gear comes back, since prior to this, the gear was shipped  
32 out and people owned it. The idea is to have a library where people check out items as needed instead.

33

34 The fifth project proposal was reviewed. It is the Bonanza Flat Accessible Trail Project and the  
35 submission is from Park City Municipal Corporation. The request is for \$15,000 and that will cover  
36 10% of the total project cost. The community partner is Utah Open Lands and the Director of  
37 Community Engagement, Alli Eroh, is present to share additional information. Ms. Nielsen informed  
38 the Short-Term Projects Committee that this is a new project, but the CWC has partnered with Utah  
39 Open Lands and Park City Municipal Corporation in previous years for different short-term projects.

40

41 Ms. Eroh stated that the project will be ADA accessible and there will be interpretive signage to  
42 encourage more sustainable practices. This specific proposal has to do with trails and maintenance  
43 that will improve the accessibility of Bonanza Flat. Mayor Zoltanski asked if there is a Design Plan  
44 or Master Plan to indicate how the area will look in the future. Ms. Eroh reported that in the actual  
45 application, there is a link to the information. This is all in collaboration with the Park City Municipal  
46 Corporation and their trails team. Mayor Bourke pointed out that the request is only for 10% of the  
47 total project costs. It was noted that the majority of the funding is coming from a grant, but there is  
48 still supplemental funding that is necessary in order to move the accessible trail project forward.

1  
2 The sixth project proposal was discussed. It is for Dumpster Days and the application was submitted  
3 by Recycle Utah. The funding request is \$10,000, which accounts for 77% of the total project cost.  
4 This was funded in 2023, where \$6,500 was awarded. Ms. Nielsen reported that Dumpster Days is a  
5 program where Recycle Utah invites residents and non-residents of Summit County and Park City to  
6 dump their toxic waste in an environmentally safe manner. The idea behind this is to protect the  
7 water. Diverting toxic waste (batteries, paint, solvents) from the landfill means less will seep into the  
8 soil and waterways. As a result, this project proposal will protect the soil and water in the area.  
9

10 The Communications and Development Director from Recycle Utah, Addison Marr, was present.  
11 She reported that Dumpster Days has been going on for several years. It helps offset a lot of the  
12 hauling and tipping costs as well as labor costs. It is extremely beneficial to be able to continue this  
13 program. Last year, waste was collected from 814 households. In Summit County, there is no yard  
14 waste collection program, so Dumpster Days essentially acts as a temporary transfer station. From  
15 the 814 households that participated last year, approximately 32,000 miles of driving were saved.  
16 That resulted in an estimated 23,000 pounds of carbon dioxide saved in driving emissions.  
17

18 Mayor Zoltanski wanted to know why Summit County doesn't have a yard waste program. Ms. Marr  
19 clarified that the Summit County landfill collects hazardous waste, but there is no pickup curbside  
20 program for that. Dumpster Days collects garbage and yard waste. Summit County does not have a  
21 yard waste collection program. There is a partnership with Summit County, Park City Government,  
22 and Spoil to Soil. The latter is the local compost facility that handles the composting portion.  
23

24 The seventh project proposal was reviewed. Ms. Nielsen explained that it is from the Salt Lake  
25 Climbers Alliance and the proposal is for Fixed Anchor Maintenance: Wasatch Rock Climbing  
26 Routes. The funding request is \$10,000 and it is 9% of the total project cost. The CWC provided  
27 \$5,000 worth of funding to the Salt Lake Climbers Alliance in 2023. Last year, the funding was for  
28 trail maintenance to access climbing routes. The anchor maintenance was funded in one of the earlier  
29 years of the grant program. Mayor Bourke wanted to better understand the funding request. Director  
30 of Operations, Sam Kilpack, pointed out that a budget was submitted. It showed that \$84,500 is the  
31 labor cost and \$25,500 is the cost for anchor supplies. People will be hired to handle the labor.  
32

33 Executive Director of the Salt Lake Climbers Alliance, Julia Geisler, was available to answer  
34 Committee Member questions. Mayor Zoltanski asked how ready the project was to move ahead.  
35 Ms. Geisler confirmed that the crew is in place for the anchor maintenance. Mayor Zoltanski wanted  
36 to hear more about the community impact of this program. Ms. Geisler explained that there are  
37 hundreds of outdoor climbing routes in Big Cottonwood Canyon and Little Cottonwood Canyon.  
38 There is no other entity but the Salt Lake Climbers Alliance maintaining the fixed hardware on those  
39 routes. Thousands of climbers are using these anchors, including university programs and search and  
40 rescue operations. Mayor Zoltanski wanted to know about the other funding sources. Ms. Geisler  
41 reported that this is part of a larger program. There is State and Federal funding as well as non-profit  
42 fundraising efforts. This is an ongoing program and maintenance is needed for the anchors.  
43

44 Chair Weichers asked how often anchors need to be replaced. Ms. Geisler explained that there are  
45 different anchors and those age at different times. Some replacements are needed and some checks  
46 are needed. Chair Weichers wanted to know about liability. Ms. Geisler reported that there is a  
47 professional crew that is fully insured. The work is done under a Special Use Permit with the Forest  
48 Service. Mayor Zoltanski wondered what would happen if an anchor fell out. Ms. Geisler explained

1 that in Utah there is the Recreational Use Statute. Where there are public resources on trails, as long  
2 as there is no charge to use the resource, the land agency is protected by that Statute. It is at the risk  
3 of the individual. The Recreational Use Statute provides some protections. Ms. Geisler shared some  
4 information about the Division of Outdoor Recreation grant. It operates on a two-year cycle. Mayor  
5 Zoltanski asked if the project work for fixed anchor maintenance would be in Big Cottonwood  
6 Canyon or Little Cottonwood Canyon. Ms. Geisler explained that the climbing routes are in both.

7  
8 The eighth project proposal was shared. It is also from the Salt Lake Climbers Alliance and is for an  
9 Alpenbock Documentary Film. The requested amount is \$15,000 and that accounts for 10% of the  
10 total project cost. Ms. Geisler explained that the Alpenbock film will be a short documentary that  
11 celebrates the history of Wasatch climbing. It will also focus on the desire to preserve the canyons  
12 from development threats. She shared additional information about the proposed documentary.

13  
14 The ninth project proposal was reviewed. Ms. Nielsen explained that it is from Save Our Canyons  
15 for a Conservation (Education and Outreach) Program. The request is for \$18,000 and it is 12% of  
16 the total project cost. This is something that the CWC has funded previously, with \$8,000 in 2023  
17 and \$1,000 in 2022. Interim Executive Director of Save Our Canyons, Grace Tyler, was available to  
18 answer questions. Ms. Tyler thanked the CWC for their partnership over the years as this program  
19 has been funded by the organization in the past. The hope this year is to grow the program slightly.  
20 Part of the project relates to wilderness stewardship where trail maintenance will be done. There are  
21 approximately 180 volunteers involved in that process. The other part of this project has to do with  
22 advocacy and education, both in person and online. Education is done by hosting community events  
23 and distributing the newsletter. There is also a desire to focus on wildfire mitigation and fuel  
24 management practices to educate the community about the importance of these issues. Ms. Tyler  
25 explained that the major growth of this program has to do with the wildfire mitigation component.

26  
27 Project proposal number ten was reviewed. Ms. Nielsen explained that this is a new proposal, as  
28 there has never been a proposal submitted by the Summit Community Gardens and EATS before.  
29 This is for Environmental Sustainability Education and it is located in the Wasatch Back. The request  
30 is for \$15,000, which is 13% of the total project cost. Executive Director for Summit Community  
31 Gardens and EATS, Helen Nadel, introduced herself to the Short-Term Projects Committee. She  
32 explained that two small non-profit organizations merged approximately a year ago. Summit  
33 Community Gardens and EATS is a 1.5-acre community garden. A lot of seasonal education is done  
34 through camps and after-school programs. There is community education done on-site as well as  
35 dinners in the garden, cultural programming, and work is also done in schools. 1,800 pounds of food  
36 is grown for distribution to various food insecurity programs throughout the Wasatch Back.

37  
38 The community garden is a unique asset. Ms. Nadel explained that it is Summit County land and  
39 there is an agricultural easement that is held by the Summit Land Conservancy. Summit Community  
40 Gardens and EATS manage the land as a non-profit. The short-term project requests will increase  
41 the programming across the board. The hope is to expand the workaround soil health, compost, and  
42 sustainable agricultural methods. Ms. Nadel stated that the desire is to infuse the youth education  
43 programs with heightened sustainability and environmental literacy education.

44  
45 Chair Weichers asked if funding is coming from the school district. Ms. Nadel clarified that the bulk  
46 of the funding is not from the school district. Summit Community Gardens and EATS try to provide  
47 the programs free of charge to the school district to represent a commitment to the public schools.

1 Approximately 40% of the budget comes from program fees like dinners in the garden and camps.  
2 There is also a fair amount of funding received from both private donors and foundations.

3  
4 The eleventh project proposal was reviewed. Ms. Nielsen reported that it is from Trails Utah and the  
5 application is for White Pine Bridge Trail Erosion Repair. The requested amount is \$15,000 and that  
6 would account for 100% of the funding needed. The CWC has partnered with Trails Utah for trail  
7 maintenance work during past Short-Term Projects Grant Program cycles. Board Chair, John  
8 Knoblock, shared information about the White Pine Bridge at the White Pine Trailhead. That bridge  
9 has degraded significantly as a result of heavy snow melt. The south end of the bridge was almost  
10 completely washed away from a recirculation that formed along the stream. The project will bring in  
11 fill material and build an elevated walkway that extends from the end of the bridge to the area above  
12 where the floodplain of the creek is located. To prevent reoccurrence, culverts will be underneath the  
13 fill. That way, if there was another recirculation, the water could pass through underneath.

14  
15 Mayor Bourke wanted to know who owns the bridge. Mr. Knoblock reported that the Forest Service  
16 does. This funding would assist the Forest Service with some important maintenance work. Chair  
17 Weichers pointed out that there is no proposed participation from anyone else. The funding request  
18 is for 100% of the project costs. Mr. Knoblock reminded those present that the Forest Service often  
19 looks for partners to assist with necessary project work. It was clarified that the money would be for  
20 both the materials and the labor. The work would be done by professionals and not by volunteers.

21  
22 The Forest Service has reviewed the proposal and determined that it would meet the definition of a  
23 categorical exclusion for maintenance of an existing trail. Ms. Nielsen explained that before the  
24 Short-Term Projects Committee meets, the proposals are sent to the land and water managers. The  
25 projects are reviewed and the CWC receives feedback about what projects might require a lengthy  
26 permit or environmental analysis. The ones that require those are not considered short-term projects.

27  
28 Mayor Bourke wondered what would happen if the Short-Term Projects Committee chose not to fund  
29 the project. If the bridge continued to deteriorate, he assumed the Forest Service would close it for  
30 safety reasons. Mr. Knoblock clarified that the bridge itself is in fine shape. This has to do with the  
31 extension of the trail south from the footing of the bridge. That section of the trail was eroded due to  
32 a large recirculation that formed during storm periods. He reiterated that the bridge is fine. Mayor  
33 Bourke believed this is a trail maintenance issue rather than a bridge maintenance issue. Mayor  
34 Zoltanski pointed out that it is a heavily used area. \$15,000 seems like a small amount to get materials  
35 and crews up Little Cottonwood Canyon to White Pine. She asked if the estimate is current. Mr.  
36 Knoblock explained that it is the amount Zinnia Wilson with the Forest Service thinks is needed.

37  
38 The twelfth project proposal was discussed. Ms. Nielsen explained that it is also from Trails Utah  
39 and it is for the Pipeline Trail Extension. The request is for \$20,000 and that is 11% of the total  
40 project cost. Mr. Knoblock explained that this is another project where the Forest Service is looking  
41 for a partner to assist with the work. He described the project area and the existing safety concerns.  
42 Mr. Knoblock discussed the Federal Lands Access Program (“FLAP”) grant in Millcreek Canyon,  
43 which will rebuild Millcreek Road above the winter gate. The Federal Highway Administration  
44 (“FHWA”) wants to close off all of the trails that will come on or off of the road during construction.  
45 The Salt Lake Ranger District has stressed the importance of the Pipeline Trail as it will be the only  
46 access for people to get onto the trail system in Millcreek Canyon. FHWA stated that this would only  
47 be allowed if there was a perpendicular straight across for the trail. The Forest Service is looking to  
48 extend the Pipeline Trail around the outside edge so there can be a perpendicular road crossing.



1 Mayor Bourke wanted to know if this would be a temporary solution. Mr. Knoblock explained that  
2 this would be a permanent feature, as it will resolve the long-term safety issue that exists in the area.

3  
4 The thirteenth project proposal was reviewed. It is from Utah Open Lands and is for the Transit to  
5 Trails Shuttle. The request is for \$15,000 that is 28% of the project cost. Ms. Nielsen reported that  
6 the CWC has funded this program in three previous years. This is an important program that provides  
7 transit to three popular trailheads in the Central Wasatch. Ms. Eroh explained that the Transit to  
8 Trails Shuttle program started in 2021. This is something that began as a way to remedy some of the  
9 congestion and reduce the ecological degradation from vehicles parking along both sides of  
10 Guardsman Pass to access the Bonanza Flat Conservation Area. There was a partnership with Park  
11 City Municipal Corporation to offer the shuttle as a free transportation solution. Members of the  
12 public can sign up for the service and then take a shuttle up from Main Street to the three popular  
13 trailheads at the Bonanza Flat Conservation Area. This year, the funding request is for an expansion  
14 of the Transit to Trails Shuttle Program so it can accommodate users on the Wasatch Front.

15  
16 Ms. Eroh reported that some area usage data was obtained from cell phone records. She explained  
17 that when cell phones are used, it can track where the use is originating from. It was discovered that  
18 over a third of the users from July to September were from the Wasatch Front. The proposal is to  
19 have this funding start the process so it is possible to create a new offshoot of the Transit to Trails  
20 Shuttle Program on the Wasatch Front. Chair Weichers believed there is support to reduce the number  
21 of vehicles on the road. He noted that charging a small fee for the shuttle would offset some of the  
22 costs, especially with expansion. Ms. Eroh stated that there have been those kinds of discussions  
23 internally. Something that is highly considered is the issue of equity and inclusion.

24  
25 Discussions were had about the current shuttle route. Ms. Eroh pointed out that the costs would be  
26 different if the shuttle was run from the Wasatch Front rather than the Wasatch Back. There would  
27 need to be work done with transportation providers to obtain a more accurate quote. The idea is to  
28 shuttle visitors to the three main trailheads that the current shuttle route drops visitors off at. Mayor  
29 Zoltanski asked about ridership levels and how riders are attracted. Ms. Eroh explained that there is  
30 a fairly extensive outreach effort and campaign. That would need to be done in the Wasatch Front as  
31 well. A lot of marketing is done through in-person events as well as through online resources. She  
32 informed Committee Members that this is reservation-based. Without a reservation, it is first come  
33 first served if there are open spaces available. A reservation will guarantee a spot on the shuttle.

34  
35 Mayor Bourke asked if there are concerns about overuse. Ms. Eroh confirmed this. The parking lots  
36 are designed to reflect the carrying capacity of the land, so that is something that has been discussed  
37 and considered with the shuttle. However, there is so much congestion and misuse of the area, even  
38 with increased parking enforcement and ticketing. Offering sustainable transportation does not result  
39 in an increase in use that overwhelms the resources, but this is something still being considered and  
40 tracked. She pointed out that the complementary educational components are useful as well.

41  
42 Ms. Nielsen reported that the CWC markets the funded projects each year. If this expansion into the  
43 Wasatch Front is funded this year, the CWC can also assist with the outreach. She noted that in  
44 previous years when this served only the Wasatch Back, it extended into the winter months as well.  
45 Ms. Eroh explained that this expansion would focus on the summer months. The original program  
46 started as only a summer program. Based on the popularity and use levels, it was extended. Chair  
47 Weichers asked if the request is to expand the program to the Wasatch Front. This was confirmed.

1 The final project proposal was shared with the Short-Term Projects Committee. Ms. Nielsen reported  
2 that it is for a Backcountry Shuttle from the Wasatch Backcountry Alliance. The funding request is  
3 \$20,000 and that is 57% of the total project cost. She explained that the CWC has funded the shuttle  
4 program for the last two years. This is a Saturday shuttle that is free to use. The shuttle services  
5 backcountry trailheads in Big Cottonwood Canyon and Little Cottonwood Canyon. Director of the  
6 Wasatch Backcountry Alliance, Danielle Poirier, expressed appreciation for previous CWC support.  
7 The Wasatch Backcountry Alliance is invested in transportation solutions that service all users, but  
8 still maintain the integrity of the canyon. The program in the 2021/2022 season was run every  
9 Saturday to enable access to upper Little Cottonwood Canyon when Alta Ski Lift started to charge  
10 for parking. In the 2022/2023 season, there was an expansion into Big Cottonwood Canyon as well.

11  
12 Mayor Zoltanski had a question for Utah Open Lands. Of the two applications, she wondered which  
13 one was the highest priority. Ms. Eroh was not sure she was able to answer that question. She worked  
14 a lot internally on the application for the expansion of the Transit to Trails Shuttle program. However,  
15 she knows that expansion and trail maintenance will promote accessibility. As a result, it is difficult  
16 for her to prioritize one application over the other in terms of importance.

17  
18 Ms. Nielsen informed the Short-Term Projects Committee that it is now time to discuss the allocation  
19 of the short-term project funding. She reminded Committee Members that there is \$95,000 and the  
20 total request amount is \$207,500. Chair Weichers reminded those present that the Committee should  
21 consider which of the Mountain Accord tenants each project request applies to. He also asked that  
22 the criteria be shared. Ms. Nielsen stated that the project has to fulfill at least one of the four Mountain  
23 Accord tenants (recreation, economy, transportation, environment), it has to occur within the CWC  
24 study area, the request has to be short-term in nature, and the request must be under \$20,000.

25  
26 Mayor Bourke thought it would be interesting to categorize the proposals. There were several  
27 applications that were education-oriented and several that were maintenance-oriented. For instance,  
28 the White Pine Bridge Erosion Repair application was for maintenance. Ms. Kilpack started to  
29 organize the proposals into different categories, such as education, infrastructure, and maintenance.

30  
31 Mayor Zoltanski stressed the importance of the geographic disbursement of the funds. Some of the  
32 applications are for the tri-canyons, and some are for Big Cottonwood Canyon, Little Cottonwood  
33 Canyon, Summit County, Park City, and so on. She liked the idea of looking back historically to  
34 determine what areas have been focused on in the past. Ms. Nielsen pointed out that this year, many  
35 applications come from the Wasatch Back. That is an increase from previous years. In past grant  
36 cycles, the majority of the funding for projects in the Wasatch Back has gone towards the Transit to  
37 Trails Shuttle program and Dumpster Days. Other requests for the Wasatch Back are new this year.

38  
39 Mayor Zoltanski asked whether CWC Staff has made any recommendations based on the criteria.  
40 Ms. Nielsen confirmed this and explained that the finalist projects before the Committee are the  
41 recommendations. The fourteen projects reviewed during the meeting are not all of the applications  
42 received. These are the projects that were most appropriate for the Committee to deliberate on.

43  
44 Mayor Zoltanski wanted to know if this is a reimbursement program. This was denied. Ms. Nielsen  
45 explained that the Short-Term Projects Committee will make recommendations for funding at the  
46 meeting. The Committee recommendations will then go to the Executive/Budget/Audit Committee  
47 later that afternoon. From there, the recommendations will be presented to the CWC Board at the  
48 CWC Board Meeting on May 6, 2024. There will be consideration and approval at that time.

1  
2 If the resolution is approved without amendment, on May 7, 2024, the money will be allocated. Ms.  
3 Nielsen noted that there is a reporting component to the grant. The awardees are given one full  
4 calendar year from the date of the allocation of funds to share a report on the project with the CWC.  
5 Mayor Zoltanski pointed out that not all the applications request full funding. When the CWC  
6 distributes partial amounts, she wondered whether the applicant reports on the project completion or  
7 the use of the funds given by the CWC. Ms. Nielsen clarified that it was on the whole project.

8  
9 Discussions were had about project goals and whether there have been delays in the past. Ms. Nielsen  
10 reported that there has been near-full success. She explained that success is defined as the completion  
11 of the projects within the given timeframe. The way that is accounted for is through the reporting  
12 forms as well as site visits that CWC Staff conducts. However, one of the projects in the previous  
13 year that took longer than originally proposed related to trail maintenance work. That was because  
14 the trail maintenance became more expensive than the Salt Lake Climbers Alliance had anticipated.

15  
16 Mayor Bourke suggested that the percentage of the total project costs be considered. In some cases,  
17 the CWC has been asked to fund a small amount, and in other cases, the request has been to fund the  
18 full project. He pointed out that the request from the Cottonwood Canyons Foundation for Trail  
19 Maintenance, Native Plant Restoration, and Control of Invasive Weeds in the Tri-Canyons is only  
20 4% of the total project costs. The funding will have less of an impact on that application than some  
21 of the others. Mayor Zoltanski thought it was important to have funds from other sources.

22  
23 Mayor Zoltanski suggested that the Short-Term Projects Committee consider the public benefit of  
24 each application. She was not in favor of funding essential government services, like the Dumpster  
25 Days. She felt that was a core function of local government and did not believe the CWC funds  
26 should be used to assist with local government services. Chair Weichers was in favor of removing  
27 Dumpster Days from consideration. Ms. Munsey noted that she highlighted applications of interest  
28 and the Dumpster Days proposal was not highlighted, as it would benefit only a specific group.

29  
30 Ms. Nielsen took a moment to read the criteria that the CWC shared as part of the grant application  
31 process. The purpose of the call for applications is to explore short-term project ideas in the CWC  
32 project area that are largely ready for funding. Projects should address one or more of the areas of  
33 focus of the CWC. If the project is proposed to occur on Forest Service land, the project should not  
34 require a lengthy National Environmental Policy Act (“NEPA”) analysis. The project must be  
35 implemented within 12 months of the contract and the request limit is \$20,000. Projects with  
36 dedicated funds from the applying entity or community partners will be given increased weighting  
37 during the review process. The applicants wrote their proposals with all of this in mind.

38  
39 Discussions were had about whether or not the full amount needs to be allocated. Ms. Nielsen  
40 explained that an applicant may have requested \$20,000, but the Short-Term Projects Committee does  
41 not necessarily have to allocate the entire amount. Mayor Zoltanski felt applicants with more than  
42 one request should state what their priority application is. Ms. Nielsen clarified that this was not  
43 requested as part of the application, but that can be a requirement added to future grant cycles.

44  
45 Mayor Bourke suggested discussing the projects there is not a desire to fund. One of them is the  
46 Dumpster Days application. He wondered if there were any others there was not an interest in  
47 funding. Mayor Zoltanski thought it made sense for the White Pine Bridge Erosion Repair project to  
48 come back with partner funds during a future grant cycle. She encouraged the applicant to reapply

1 with some partner funding. Ms. Munsey liked the trail extension project more than the White Pine  
2 application. She noted that there was a safety element with the Pipeline Trail Extension application.  
3

4 Out of the two applications from the Cottonwood Canyons Foundation, Ms. Munsey preferred the  
5 Trail Maintenance, Native Plant Restoration, and Control of Invasive Weeds in the Tri-Canyons over  
6 the Cottonwood Canyons Watershed Education Program. Chair Weichers noted that both projects  
7 are significant asks. Mayor Zoltanski also preferred the second application from the Cottonwood  
8 Canyons Foundation. She likes the idea of the backpacks and materials but thought that the second  
9 application would make a more significant difference. Chair Weichers asked if there was an amount  
10 the Committee would want to fund for the Trail Maintenance, Native Plant Restoration, and Control  
11 of Invasive Weeds in the Tri-Canyons. Ms. Munsey was supportive of an amount between \$16,000  
12 and \$20,000. Mayor Zoltanski suggested using the total amount as a placeholder.  
13

14 Mayor Bourke referenced the CWC Youth Council's request for an Outdoor Film Festival. The  
15 requested funding amount is between \$1,500 and \$1,800. He noted that it will only play once to a  
16 limited audience, so it is important to consider the impact of the event. That being said, he likes that  
17 this will fund a youth-oriented project. Ms. Munsey thought it should be funded in full at \$1,800.  
18 She pointed out that the CWC Youth Council will try to sell refreshments to earn some money as  
19 well. Mayor Zoltanski was also supportive of the application. Ms. Nielsen explained that if the  
20 project is less expensive than anticipated, there is a stipulation that excess money be sent back. Chair  
21 Weichers expressed discomfort about funding a CWC Youth Council project as the CWC Youth  
22 Council is directly associated with the organization. Ms. Nielsen clarified that this was considered.  
23 Funded CWC Youth Council applications can be considered as part of the budget next year.  
24

25 The Committee discussed the Wasatch Backcountry Alliance application for a Backcountry Shuttle.  
26 Mayor Bourke explained that parking on weekends is often a concern. The reservation that the town  
27 and ski area imposed was necessary and resulted in some sensible responses. The Backcountry  
28 Shuttle is one example of a sensible response to the issues. He thought that project should be at least  
29 partially funded. Ms. Munsey pointed out that a smaller group would benefit from the shuttle.  
30

31 Ms. Poirier stated that the program will be even more important in terms of equitable access next  
32 season when the Forest Service trailhead fees are implemented. As an organization, there is support  
33 for the reservation systems. Those have had a lot of success in reducing traffic congestion in the  
34 canyons. That being said, with the Town of Brighton employing some new parking policies this past  
35 season, it further inhibited dispersed access. The shuttle program is becoming even more important  
36 for access. This summer, there is a desire to obtain a permit from the Forest Service to allow the  
37 shuttles to drop off at more trailheads with proper restroom infrastructure. In addition, there will be  
38 a more strategic approach when it comes to the way funds are used. If any shuttle is less than 75%  
39 reserved, that shuttle will be canceled, so the funds are not being used for partially full shuttles.  
40

41 Chair Weichers asked for additional information about equity. Ms. Poirier explained that when Alta  
42 Ski Lift started its parking policy, it was \$25 for parking reservations for those who were not resort  
43 patrons. Last year, the roadside parking was \$10. She has not seen concrete numbers for the new  
44 Forest Service trailhead program, but she offered to follow up about that. Ms. Poirier explained that  
45 the shuttle provides trailhead access to people who might not be able to afford a four-wheel drive  
46 vehicle with snow tires. It creates an access point for different users. The Committee discussed an  
47 appropriate funding amount. Mayor Bourke suggested that \$15,000 of the requested amount be  
48 funded.

1  
2 The Committee next discussed the Transit to Trails Shuttle. Mayor Zoltanski noted that the  
3 percentage of the total project cost makes sense and she is more inclined to fund that at the full  
4 amount. Mayor Bourke pointed out that parking lots essentially place a limitation on the number of  
5 visitors. To encourage a shuttle might result in some unintended consequences. On the other hand,  
6 he likes the idea of there being access from the Wasatch Front to Bonanza Flat. Ms. Munsey asked  
7 if the funding request was for the actual shuttle or to expand the service. It was noted that it was to  
8 expand. Mayor Zoltanski suggested that the Committee recommend \$15,000 worth of funding.  
9

10 Chair Weichers mentioned the Alpenbock Documentary Film project proposal from the Salt Lake  
11 Climbers Alliance. It was noted that the funding request was \$15,000. Ms. Munsey liked the idea of  
12 preservation and stories about the area. Ms. Nielsen informed Committee Members that the CWC is  
13 considering hosting the Central Wasatch Symposium early next year. As part of the agenda for the  
14 symposium, there has been discussion about screening a film on the final day. This could possibly  
15 be the film that was screened as part of the Central Wasatch Symposium. Chair Weichers suggested  
16 funding the documentary at a lower amount. Mayor Bourke liked the idea that this kind of project  
17 would create something that could be used for education indefinitely. Mayor Zoltanski thought that  
18 capturing the history of the community was beneficial. There was support to fund the project proposal  
19 but at a lower amount than the \$15,000 initially requested. \$5,000 was selected.  
20

21 Mayor Zoltanski was not supportive of the Community Gear Shed project that was submitted by the  
22 CWC Youth Council. She believed that was a niche service that will have a low yield for the dollars  
23 that are involved. Additionally, she pointed out that there was no partner funding. It might make  
24 sense for that request to come back next year instead. Mayor Bourke pointed out that the gear shed  
25 would serve an underserved community and would introduce more people to outdoor opportunities.  
26 Ms. Munsey suggested that there be outreach to outdoor sporting goods stores for donations. Ms.  
27 Nielsen thought this was an impressive and imaginative idea. It will create new community  
28 partnerships and it will serve people who would not otherwise have the opportunity to recreate in the  
29 mountains. Committee Members determined that a partial funding amount will be awarded at \$6,000.  
30

31 The Committee discussed the Environmental Sustainability Education proposal. Chair Weichers  
32 loved the education piece and the fact that there will be good reach with the schools. Mayor Zoltanski  
33 thought \$5,000 for that project was appropriate because of the school district component. Ms. Nadel  
34 stated that she is available to answer any outstanding Committee Member questions. Ms. Munsey  
35 asked about the outreach. Ms. Nadel reported that the number of people served each year is 2,800.  
36 There is regular outreach with approximately 1,000 students and there are 700 or so students that  
37 come for field trips, camps, and so on. There are also between 1,000 and 1,200 people who come to  
38 the garden who are adults or families. Those people visit the garden for other programming.  
39

40 Ms. Munsey asked if the school district supports the programs. Ms. Nadel clarified that the school  
41 district supports the program in a lot of different ways. All of the after-school programs are funded  
42 through the district. There are some programs actually in the schools. For example, there are  
43 discussions about environmental sustainability during cooking, gardening, and nutrition classes.  
44 Some of the smaller programs do not receive funding from the school district. Committee Members  
45 determined that \$5,000 would be the recommended amount for that project proposal.  
46

1 The Fixed Anchor Maintenance: Wasatch Rock Climbing Routes proposal was discussed. Mayor  
2 Bourke pointed out that this is a safety issue. Mayor Zoltanski agreed that this project will have a  
3 significant safety impact. The full funding request of \$10,000 was suggested to be awarded.  
4

5 Ms. Nielsen noted that Save Our Canyons asked for \$18,000 for the Conservation (Education and  
6 Outreach) Program. The program has been funded in the past at \$8,000 and \$1,000. Ms. Tyler  
7 explained that the increase is due to fuels management education in the Wasatch Front and Wasatch  
8 Back. Over 30% of the work overall as an organization falls into education and outreach.  
9 Additionally, there is work done with the Forest Service for trail maintenance and removing invasive  
10 weeds. Mayor Zoltanski is supportive of awarding more than \$8,000, but not necessarily the full  
11 \$18,000 request based on the budget limitations. \$12,000 was a suggested funding amount.  
12

13 The Bonanza Flat Accessible Trail Project was considered next. Ms. Munsey noted that she wrote  
14 down \$5,000 as a possible funding amount. It was suggested that there be \$5,000 for that project and  
15 \$10,000 for the Transit to Trails application. Ms. Nielsen reminded Committee Members that it is  
16 possible to request that the CWC use some reserves to award additional short-term project funding.  
17 She added that there are considerable savings in the budget this year, so reserves might not need to  
18 be used for that purpose. Unused funds from the current fiscal year budget could be used instead.  
19

20 As a result of that information, it was suggested that the funding for the Environmental Sustainability  
21 Education project from the Summit Community Garden and EATS have more funding than  
22 previously discussed. It is a developed program and it has a direct impact on young people. The  
23 Save Our Canyons application could increase to \$15,000. Ms. Kilpack shared the current budget  
24 information with those present. Ms. Nielsen noted that there is surplus in the current budget, but she  
25 is not sure whether that will cover all of the short-term project amounts that are being discussed.  
26

27 Additional discussions were had about the short-term project applications as the Committee finalized  
28 the recommended funding amounts. Ms. Nielsen reported that one of the only ADA-accessible trails  
29 in the Central Wasatch is Silver Lake. Any project that is trying to increase access is worthwhile.  
30 Committee Members considered the Trails Utah application for the White Pine project proposal. A  
31 final review of the project proposals was done and the numbers for funding were further discussed.  
32

33 The short-term project funding amounts recommended by the Short-Term Projects Committee are:  
34

- 35 • Trail Maintenance, Native Plant Restoration, and Control of Invasive Weeds in the Tri-  
36 Canyons - Cottonwood Canyons Foundation (\$20,000);
- 37 • Outdoor Film Festival – CWC Youth Council (\$1,800);
- 38 • Community Gear Shed – CWC Youth Council (\$6,000);
- 39 • Bonanza Flat Accessible Trail Project – Park City Municipal Corporation (\$5,000);
- 40 • Fixed Anchor Maintenance: Wasatch Rock Climbing Routes – Salt Lake Climbers Alliance  
41 (\$10,000);
- 42 • Alpenbock Documentary Film – Salt Lake Climbers Alliance (\$5,000);
- 43 • Conservation (Education and Outreach) Program – Save Our Canyons (\$15,000);
- 44 • Environmental Sustainability Education – Summit Community Gardens and EATS (\$10,000);
- 45 • White Pine Bridge Trail Erosion Repair – Trails Utah (\$5,000);
- 46 • Pipeline Trail Extension – Trails Utah (\$15,000);
- 47 • Transit to Trails Shuttle – Utah Open Lands (\$10,000);

- Backcountry Shuttle – Wasatch Backcountry Alliance (\$15,000).

Ms. Nielsen explained that CWC Staff will put the recommendations into a memo. It will be presented to the Executive/Budget/Audit Committee later that afternoon. During the May 6, 2024, CWC Board Meeting, the recommendations will be considered by the full CWC Board. It was noted that the total budget for short-term projects is \$95,000 and the Short-Term Projects Committee has recommended \$117,800 of project funding. That is \$22,800 above the short-term project budget.

**MOTION:** Mayor Zoltanski moved to RECOMMEND the Short-Term Projects in the Amounts Listed to the CWC Board at the May 6, 2024, CWC Board Meeting. Mayor Bourke seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Committee.

### **CLOSING**

1. **Chair Weichers will Call for a Motion to Adjourn the Short-Term Projects Committee Meeting.**

**MOTION:** Mayor Zoltanski moved to ADJOURN the Short-Term Projects Committee Meeting. Mayor Bourke seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Board.

The Short-Term Projects Committee Meeting adjourned at 12:45 p.m.

1 *I hereby certify that the foregoing represents a true, accurate, and complete record of the Central*  
2 *Wasatch Commission Short-Term Projects Committee Meeting held Monday, April 15, 2024.*

3

4 Teri Forbes

5 Teri Forbes

6 T Forbes Group

7 Minutes Secretary

8

9 Minutes Approved: \_\_\_\_\_

10