

Name	Affiliation	Date	Comment Type	Summary/Key Points	Comment Category										Response		
					Process	Trust, Transparency, & Efficiency	Maps	Infrastructure	Land Exchanges	Land Use Management	Transportation	Recreation/Trails	Water	White Pine			
Anonymous		6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Water rights issues are not addressed in the bill.												X	Water rights are addressed in Section 6(a)(12) "Water Rights".
Allen Orr	Alta Resident	6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Support is contingent upon a connection to Big Cottonwood Canyon from Little Cottonwood Canyon, transportation solutions, hundred-room hotel, and water.				X		X	X				X		
Barbara Cameron	Big Cottonwood Canyon Community Council	6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Decision of whether to support bill comes down to infrastructure (toilets, trails, and transportation). Could support the legislation if these things are addressed.				X			X	X					Section 3(b)(3)-(4) designate the two purposes of the NCRA as facilitating recreation systems and improved access. Section 6(a)(8)(A) provides for "roadway improvements, public transportation, bus stops, stations, and public amenities, such as restrooms, trails, trailheads, bike lanes, and pedestrian infrastructure" within the NCRA.
Barbara Cameron	Big Cottonwood Canyon Community Council	7/5/2018	Email	Need: roadside restrooms and drinkable water at Cardiff (Donut Falls area); and restrooms with potable water at Mill B (Lake Blanche Trailhead) and Willow Heights. The CWNRCAs legislation doesn't seem to address these concerns. The CWC money will be spent on consultants, staff, lobbying, and endless plans. Also it will bring thousands more visitors to the Central Wasatch, with no added funding.	X			X			X				X		
Big Cottonwood Canyon Community Council	Big Cottonwood Canyon Community Council	6/20/2018	Written	Unanimously opposes a new Federal Designation in Big Cottonwood Canyon. Need is not clear.							X						
Big Cottonwood Canyon Community Council	Big Cottonwood Canyon Community Council	6/20/2018	Written	Transportation, parking and sanitation solutions are needed before a federal designation is imposed that will attract more visitors. They want to be included moving forward and might support a federal designation if there were revenue for improvements, including parking, public transit, and restrooms.		X		X			X						Section 3(b)(3) - (4) designate the two purposes of the NCRA as facilitating recreation systems and improved access. Section 6(a)(8)(A) provides for "roadway improvements, public transportation, bus stops, stations, and public amenities, such as restrooms, trails, trailheads, bike lanes, and pedestrian infrastructure" within the NCRA.
Big Cottonwood Canyon Community Council	Big Cottonwood Canyon Community Council	6/20/2018	Written	Transparency, efficiency, and conscientious land use management are important to them.	X	X					X						
Bill Clayton	Homeowner in Little Cottonwood Canyon and member of Granite Community Council	6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Wants to protect the environment.							X						The first two purposes of the NCRA (Section 3(b)(1) - (2)) protect the federal land and watershed within the NCRA and the land exchanges in Section 7 would put more land into federal ownership and protection. Section 4 designates approximately 8,000 acres of additional wilderness.
Bill Clayton	Homeowner in Little Cottonwood Canyon and member of Granite Community Council	6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Wants to maintain a vibrant and viable ski industry.										X			The land exchanges would put the land under ski resort base areas into private ownership and reduce the administrative and land ownership issues.
Bill Clayton	Homeowner in Little Cottonwood Canyon and member of Granite Community Council	6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Wants to protect the rights of private property owners.										X			Private property rights are addressed in Section 6(a)(2) "Non-Federal Land". Will add subparagraph under Section 3(a) to make it clear that non-federal lands are not included within the NCRA designation.
Bill Clayton	Homeowner in Little Cottonwood Canyon and member of Granite Community Council	6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Feels small water systems are not protected in the bill.											X		Water infrastructure is addressed in Section 6(a)(11) "Facilities". Water rights are addressed in Section 6(a)(12) "Water Rights". Will add "water systems" to 6(a)(12)(D).
Bill Clayton	Homeowner in Little Cottonwood Canyon and member of Granite Community Council	6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Doesn't want the process to be rushed.	X												
Brad Rutledge	Wasatch Backcountry Alliance Board Member	6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Wasatch Backcountry Alliance is supportive of the legislation if Alta Ski Lifts stands by its original commitment to include Grizzly Gulch as a part of the land exchange. Alta Ski Lifts's desire to trade private lands in order to obtain public lands on Patsy Marley is also not acceptable.						X							The lands proposed for exchange by the ski resorts are privately held and are at the discretion of the individual resorts to include within the exchange. CWC will continue to facilitate discussions and seek agreements to resolve outstanding land ownership and access issues.

Name	Affiliation	Date	Comment Type	Summary/Key Points	Comment Category										Response		
					Process	Trust, Transparency, & Efficiency	Maps	Infrastructure	Land Exchanges	Land Use Management	Transportation	Recreation/Trails	Water	White Pine			
Brad Rutledge	Wasatch Backcountry Alliance Board Member	6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Maps also need to be accurate and reflect what was agreed to.			X										The date of maps can be found at the bottom of the map as "Map Revision Date." The most recent version of the NCRA map is dated 07-11-2016 (Nov. 7, 2016). The most recent version of the land exchange map is dated 03-11-2016 (Nov. 3, 2016).
Brian Hutchinson	Local	6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Applauds the effort, but has concerns over execution. Feels the need to reevaluate the list of stakeholders.	X	X											
Brian Hutchinson	Local	6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Feels the need to revisit handing over total responsibility to the Forest Service.						X							The U.S. Forest Service is the land manager for the federal lands designated in the bill and would continue to do so after passage of the bill.
Brian Hutchinson	Local	6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Need to work toward restoration of the forest, the train, and preservation of ridgelines and open spaces.				X		X	X						Section 3(C)(4)(E) directs the U.S. Forest Service to "provide for adaptive management of resources and restoration of damaged resources." Section 6(a)(8)(A) provides for "public transportation" within the NCRA. The first two purposes of the NCRA (Section 3(b)(1)-(2)) protect the ridgelines and open spaces within the NCRA and the land exchanges in Section 7 would put more land into federal ownership and protection.
Caroline Gleich	Wasatch Backcountry Alliance Board of Directors	6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Wants to support the legislation, but is concerned about ski resort expansion and losing unique qualities of the Wasatch in the backcountry. Also particularly concerned about losing access to Grizzly Gulch.					X	X		X					Section 3(c)(4)(D) prohibits ski area permit boundary expansion on federal lands within the NCRA, as depicted on the NCRA map dated 07-11-2016 (Nov. 7, 2016). The lands proposed for exchange by the ski resorts are privately held and are at the discretion of the individual resorts to include within the exchange. CWC will continue to facilitate discussions and seek agreements to resolve outstanding land ownership and access issues.
Dr. Howie Garber	Utah Physicians for a Healthy Environment	6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Surveys have shown the population thinks the ski resorts are big enough and the public does not want Grizzly Gulch to be developed. Believes this bill will end private property disputes.					X	X		X					Section 3(c)(4)(D) prohibits ski area permit boundary expansion on federal lands within the NCRA, as depicted on the NCRA map dated 07-11-2016 (Nov. 7, 2016). The lands proposed for exchange by the ski resorts are privately held and are at the discretion of the individual resorts to include within the exchange. CWC will continue to facilitate discussions and seek agreements to resolve outstanding land ownership and access issues.
Dr. Howie Garber	Utah Physicians for a Healthy Environment	6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Feels every inch of open space should be conserved.						X							The first two purposes of the NCRA (Section 3(b)(1)-(2)) protect the ridgelines and open spaces within the NCRA and the land exchanges in Section 7 would put more land into federal ownership and protection. Section 4 designates approximately 8,000 acres of additional wilderness.
Drew Weaver	South Despain Ditch Company	6/20/2018	Email	Federal designation unnecessary to meeting Mountain Accord's goals and mission.	X	X											
Drew Weaver	South Despain Ditch Company	6/20/2018	Email	Bill needs more public exposure and comment.	X	X											
Drew Weaver	South Despain Ditch Company	6/20/2018	Email	Conflicts with White Pine Special Management Area (motorized vehicles, dam maintenance, existing facilities, timber harvesting, etc.)											X		Section 5(2)(B) addresses access to facilities within White Pine SMA as part of the U.S. Forest Service's administrative authority. Water infrastructure and access is addressed in Section 6(a)(11) "Facilities" and Section 6(a)(12)(D) "Existing Water Infrastructure".
Edward T. Marshall	Flying Cloud Enterprises, Inc. - Owns the property that the historic Logan Have Restaurant sits on.	6/20/2018	Multiple	Vetting by the Salt Lake Community Councils and the Salt Lake County Council are essential for any real consensus, and they must not be denied again this time.													
Edward T. Marshall	Flying Cloud Enterprises, Inc. - Owns the property that the historic Logan Have Restaurant sits on.	6/20/2018	Multiple	The transportation solution provisions of the NCRA must be finalized and guaranteed this time before the legislation is resubmitted to Congress.													This bill only applies to Federal lands and Forest Service management of the Federal lands and does not mandate specific transportation solutions. Transportation solutions will be determined outside of the bill, such as the Little Cottonwood Canyon EIS by UDOT. The bill does not preclude any future transportation solutions from being implemented.
Edward T. Marshall	Flying Cloud Enterprises, Inc. - Owns the property that the historic Logan Have Restaurant sits on.	6/20/2018	Multiple	Another Federal "wilderness" area is not necessary or desirable in Millcreek Canyon which is a developed urban canyon used by local residents for diverse forms of recreation.													Environmental protections and wilderness designations were part of the Mountain Accord agreement. Mount Olympus, Twin Peaks, and Lone Peak are similar, existing wilderness areas in close proximity to urban development and used for diverse forms of recreation.

Name	Affiliation	Date	Comment Type	Summary/Key Points	Comment Category									Response	
					Process	Trust, Transparency, & Efficiency	Maps	Infrastructure	Land Exchanges	Land Use Management	Transportation	Recreation/Trails	Water		White Pine
Edward T. Marshall	Flying Cloud Enterprises, Inc. - Owns the property that the historic Logan Have Restaurant sits on.	6/20/2018	Multiple	Subsection 3(a)(3): We insisted upon this brief new subsection to make clear at the very outset (rather than as an after-thought at the end of legislation) that the NCRA and any new Wilderness Area would not impact any of the ownership rights of the private property owners located within the NCRA's permitter boundaries. Ms. Nelson proposed a sentence for this purpose in the box of her matrix. However the first portion of that sentence is repetitious and the sentence does not recognize that there are some protective sections of the legislation that do intent to "apply" to private property owners. The subsection also needs a caption, and for the sake of brevity, we believe it should read as follows: "(3) AREA EXCLUDED - The provisions of this Act do not apply to non-Federal land within the boundary of the Conservation and Recreation Area, except for the private property protections set forth herein."											Will work with federal legislative drafters to add subparagraph under Section 3(a) that non-federal lands are excluded from the NCRA designation and provisions pertaining to private property will not be impacted.
Edward T. Marshall	Flying Cloud Enterprises, Inc. - Owns the property that the historic Logan Have Restaurant sits on.	6/20/2018	Multiple	Section 6(a)(1)(A) was added to protect the Boy Scout Camps and Log Haven, which have been located in Millcreek Canyon for over 150 years and 50 years respectively, but would now find a new federal Wilderness area introduced immediately adjacent to them. Unfortunately, the language proposed by Mountain Accord is ambiguous and does not achieve its intended purpose because it could easily be construed to refer only to the exterior perimeter "around" the NCRA, which fails to recognize that the interior perimeter of the new Grandeur Peak-Mount Aire Wilderness Area would be separate and distinct from the NCRA's exterior perimeter. Therefore, unless the proposed "Wilderness" for Millcreek Canyon is deleted, as requested above, Section 6(a)(1)(A) needs to end with the words "...and/or the Grandeur Peak-Mount Aire Wilderness Area." This is critically important to us.											The Grandeur Peak-Mount Aire Wilderness is added as an amendment to the "Utah Wilderness Act of 1984" (P.L. 98-428; 98 Stat. 1658, 16 U.S.C. 1132 note). The existing Utah Wilderness Act states in Section 303 "Prohibition on Buffer Zones" that "Congress does not intend that designation of wilderness areas in the State of Utah lead to the creation of protective perimeters or buffer zones around any wilderness area. The fact that nonwilderness activities or uses can be seen or heard from areas within the wilderness shall not, of itself, preclude such activities or uses up to the boundary of the wilderness area."
Edward T. Marshall	Flying Cloud Enterprises, Inc. - Owns the property that the historic Logan Have Restaurant sits on.	6/20/2018	Multiple	Section 6(a)(1)(B) was added to protect all private property owners in the canyons, who would become inholders within the perimeter boundaries of the NCRA if HR 5718 is re-introduced and implemented. Unfortunately, the proposed language for this Section is also very ambiguous because of the repeated use of the word "outside" when referring to the NCRA boundaries. This word would almost certainly be construed in the future as referring to the NCRA's perimeter boundaries as shown on the map, and that would not protect inholders who would be located "inside" the perimeter. To clarify this ambiguity and fulfill the purpose for which this section was created, we ask that you revise this subsection to read: "(B) ACTIVITIES ON PRIVATE PROPERTIES - The fact that an activity or use on private property located within the perimeter of the Conservation and Recreation Area can be seen or heard from the Federal lands and/or Wilderness Areas which constitute part of the Conservation and Recreation Area shall not preclude an activity or use on the private property.											Will work with the assistance of federal legislative drafters to ensure language that activities or uses on any non-federal lands within or adjacent to the NCRA boundaries will not be precluded. For wilderness areas, the wilderness areas in the bill are added as an amendment to the "Utah Wilderness Act of 1984" (P.L. 98-428; 98 Stat. 1658, 16 U.S.C. 1132 note) or the "Endangered American Wilderness Act of 1978" (P.L. 95-237; 92 Stat. 42; 16 U.S.C. 1132 note) and both Acts contain existing statutes addressing this issue.
Edward T. Marshall	Flying Cloud Enterprises, Inc. - Owns the property that the historic Logan Have Restaurant sits on.	6/20/2018	Multiple	Section 6(a)(2)(A) Water rights and their permitted uses are a major issue here in the Wasatch Canyons, especially given the extraterritorial jurisdiction exercised by Salt Lake City. Therefore, the private property owners would like those rights expressly inserted into this Section, as shown on the matrix, and would also like a clarification that this Section applies to the future as well as the present. (The fifth comment on Ms. Nelson's matrix, also attributed to "Marshall," could be taken care of in this section too by referring to licenses, permits, and land use approvals.) Therefore, we request that this Section be revised to read: "...shall adversely affect present or future ownership, management, use, improvement, water rights, use of water rights, licenses, permits, land use approvals, and all other rights relating to..."											Water rights are addressed in Section 6(a)(12) "Water Rights". Licenses, permits, land use approvals, etc. issued by the U.S. Forest Service are addressed in Section 3(d)(3) "Permitted and Licensed Activities." Will discuss with federal legislative drafters the addition of "water rights, and use of water rights" to Section 6(a)(2)(A) and if there is a need for clarification on future rights.
Evan Johnson	Landowner in BCC, LCC, Albion Basin, and Alta	6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Wants to support legislation, but feels landowners were cut out of the process intentionally. Landowners would like protection from the county if this bill is going to pass. Also concerned about misinformation related to watershed and water usage.	X	X								X	
Greg Shiffman	Granite Council	6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Has reservations about the bill, including how rushed it is, the corporate business aspect, and its flaws. Believes the process needs to slow down.	X	X									
Jennifer Clancy	Friends of Alta Executive Director	6/20/2018	Multiple	Support of legislation is rooted in good faith that agreements made regarding land exchanges will be honored on the final maps and contingent upon NEPA analysis. Little Cottonwood Canyon EIS is jumpstarting the process. Wants to continue to help bring different stakeholders and different issues to the table and find a solution for all of them at once	X	X	X		X		X	X	X	X	CWC will continue to facilitate discussions and seek agreements to resolve outstanding land ownership and access issues.
Jim Byrne	Bonneville Shoreline Trail Committee Co-Chair	6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Provided historical perspective and believes areas where there have been issues getting trails across private land can be solved by minor adjustments to the wilderness boundary.						X		X			Ground-truthing by a trail designer has begun to identify a multiple-use, constructable trail alignment for the BST.
Jim Thompson	Millcreek City Resident	6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Certain trails need to be designated as foot trails. Wants to see compromise on development issues so that the bill can pass sooner rather than later.	X							X			

Name	Affiliation	Date	Comment Type	Summary/Key Points	Comment Category										Response		
					Process	Trust, Transparency, & Efficiency	Maps	Infrastructure	Land Exchanges	Land Use Management	Transportation	Recreation/Trails	Water	White Pine			
Kevin Dwyer	Salt Lake Valley Trail Society	6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Generally supportive of legislation, but has reservations due to inadequate time to look at the maps or legislation.	X		X										The most recent version of the NCRA map is dated 07-11-2016 (Nov. 7, 2016). The most recent version of the land exchange map is dated 03-11-2016 (Nov. 3, 2016).
Kevin Dwyer	Salt Lake Valley Trail Society	6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Does not want White Pine removed as a recreational source. Also wants accommodation for mountain bikers going across the mouth of White Pine Canyon.									X		X		Section 5 does not limit recreational access to White Pine SMA, but does restrict motorized and mechanized vehicles within the SMA.
Kirk Nichols	Evergreen Home Owners Association	6/20/2018	Email	Shows land between Silver Lake and Solitude (Evergreen HOA) as available for federal land exchange.				X	X		X						Only federally owned lands may be offered to the resorts as part of the exchange. Any non-federal lands identified for exchange are typographical errors and will be corrected. The date of maps can be found at the bottom of the map as "Map Revision Date." The most recent version of the NCRA map is dated 07-11-2016 (Nov. 7, 2016). The most recent version of the land exchange map is dated 03-11-2016 (Nov. 3, 2016).
Kirk Nichols	Evergreen Home Owners Association	6/20/2018	Email	Concerns with traffic and overcrowding.				X	X		X	X					Section 6(a)(8)(A) provides for "roadway improvements, public transportation, bus stops, stations, and public amenities, such as restrooms, trails, trailheads, bike lanes, and pedestrian infrastructure" within the NCRA.
Kirk Nichols	Evergreen Home Owners Association	6/20/2018	Email	Concerns about toll roads. Will federal legislation address the use of toll roads?				X	X		X	X					Tolling in Big Cottonwood Canyon would be regulated by state law. The bill does not address road tolling.
Kyle Buxton	Board Member of the Big Cottonwood Canyon Community Council and the Carbon Fork Canyon Landowners Association	6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Frustrated with time of meeting. Private property owners are opposed to the federal designation and want protection of private property rights and access. Also concerned about land exchanges, valuation of lands, and overlapping jurisdictions.	X	X			X								
Linda Johnson	Mountain Planning Commission for Salt Lake County	6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Doesn't care where lines are drawn but is worried about watershed and fire dangers.										X			The second purpose of the NCRA (Section 3(b)(2)) is to "protect, enhance, and restore the water quality and watershed resources" in the NCRA. Section 3(c)(4) directs the Forest Service to "ensure protection of water quality and watershed resources."
Mark Beir	Former Landowner	6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Look for market-based solutions.	X												
Mary Young		6/20/2018	Email	Speed vs. Trust - wants to be able to review changes before it is submitted to congress.	X	X											
Mary Young		6/20/2018	Email	Referenced additional comments but they weren't included in email.													
Megan Nelson	The Nature Conservancy	6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Generally supportive of the bill and wants to continue working with the CWC and fellow stakeholders to make further improvements to the bill as it continues through the legislative process.	X	X											CWC will continue to engage stakeholders as the bill continues through the legislative process.
Norman Henderson	Resident	6/21/2018	Email	The CWC map online (dated 7.7.2016) is different than the one provided on the Mountain Accord/CWC website (dated 11.3.2016). Differences relate to trails, roads, rights of way and easements. Having two websites (MA and CWC) confuses the public. Need one website with trusted and accurate information that distinguishes CWC from MA.	X	X	X										Ralph Responded via email on 6/21/18. The date of maps can be found at the bottom of the map as "Map Revision Date." The most recent version of the NCRA map is dated 07-11-2016 (Nov. 7, 2016). The most recent version of the land exchange map is dated 03-11-2016 (Nov. 3, 2016).
Norman Henderson	Resident	6/20/2018	Email	He respectfully requests CWC put on hold Federal Designation due to too many unresolved issues. It will not withstand a critical review.	X	X											
Norman Henderson	Resident	6/20/2018	Email	Transparency: Public process flawed with Mountain Accord which raises questions regarding validity of CWCNRA and CWC. Public process needs to be better with CWC with full disclosure and in accordance with Open Meetings Law.	X	X											
Norman Henderson	Resident	6/20/2018	Email	Reservoirs: Argenta Dam is incompatible with stated purpose of CWCNRA.				X									The Argenta Dam is no longer being proposed.
Norman Henderson	Resident	6/20/2018	Email	Land exchanges: Validity of land exchanges in CWCNRA are questionable due to inadequate public process of Mountain Accord. Lack of compliance could cloud the legislation.					X								The land exchanges are not executed in the bill. The land exchanges will be completed through the standard Forest Service administrative process, including the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and associated public involvement requirements.
Norman Henderson	Resident	6/20/2018	Email	Private Property Committee: Land trades between USFS and ski resorts do not include private property owners. Ski resorts purchasing of these private lands to increase trade position will raise backcountry land values costing public more money. The CWC should participate in the study group requested by the State Legislature of Mike Styler, Director of Division of Natural Resources and/or halt land trades until the group reports to the Legislature.	X				X								The land exchanges will be completed through the standard Forest Service administrative process, including the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and associated public involvement requirements.

Name	Affiliation	Date	Comment Type	Summary/Key Points	Comment Category									Response		
					Process	Trust, Transparency, & Efficiency	Maps	Infrastructure	Land Exchanges	Land Use Management	Transportation	Recreation/Trails	Water		White Pine	
Norman Henderson	Resident	6/25/2018	Email	The updated land exchange map provided by CWC specifies that access to Silver Fork Canyon will be through the community of Silver Fork now rather than through USFS land being traded. Slap in the face of local residents to extinguish the ROW through what was USFS land and insert new access in a small community with virtually no infrastructure to handle the increased traffic. Worse may be for the county to claim the road as a public road and upgrade it to county standards. That would be a complete change in character to the very rural nature of the Silver Fork community. Process: The CWC is supposed to be a new process open and public but posting this proposal as part of the new process with no discussion with the locals is no way to start out the CWC process. Pushing this through could result in outright war and hostility. Nobody can get restrooms into the canyons to help the watershed but some people are sure hell bent on giving the ski resorts free reign to make hundreds of millions.	X	X	X		X	X						Ralph Responded via email on 6/26/18
Sarah Bennett	Executive Director of Trails Utah	6/29/2018	Multiple	Cannot support the bill as it currently exists because the retracted wilderness boundaries do not accommodate a shared-use Bonneville Shoreline Trail. Working on finding a solution by finding a constructable alignment for the Bonneville Shoreline Trail.						X		X			Ground-truthing by a trail designer has begun to identify a multiple-use, constructable trail alignment for the BST.	
Sarah Bennett	Executive Director of Trails Utah	6/29/2018	Multiple	Feels the process has been rushed.	X	X										
Taylor Money	Save Our Canyons	6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Supports the bill and is specifically interested in gaining support for bill in Utah County and in seeing the Wasatch protected.	X	X									CWC will continue to engage stakeholders as the bill continues through the legislative process.	
Tom Ward	Sandy City Water Director	7/3/2018	Email	Granite community feels dismissed by CWC. Many people in the community are coming from a place of mistrust due to treatment from past federal legislation. Main concerns include: White Pine being closed to the local historical irrigation company who owns/operates the dam; water rights; federal land exchange (value of private and public land should be at assessed value so the public is not taken and have land trade opportunities); and backcountry skiing violations/fines.	X	X			X	X		X	X	X		
Tyson Bradley	Utah Mountain Adventures	6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Can support the legislation. Would like to see more parking created and more base control for ski resorts through land exchanges, with train and no chair lifts in perpetuity. Would also like to see Grizzly Gulch remain recreational.				X	X	X		X			The lands proposed for exchange by the ski resorts are privately held and are at the discretion of the individual resorts to include within the exchange. CWC will continue to facilitate discussions and seek agreements to resolve outstanding land ownership and access issues.	
Will McCarvill	Chair of Utah Chapter of the Sierra Club	6/20/2018	Public Hearing	Supports the bill as it stands with some minor changes. Any major changes may change their support.	X										CWC will continue to engage stakeholders as the bill continues through the legislative process.	